of dollars annually to the costs of doing business for that category of carriers alone.

In sum, verification of inbound calls is a purported "solution" in search of a virtually nonexistent "problem." Rather than provide any protection from unscrupulous carriers -- who would undoubtedly ignore this requirement, just as they do with other current rules -- inbound verification would only increase dramatically the compliance costs of law-abiding carriers without providing any measurable consumer benefit. The Commission should therefore reject the Further Notice's tentative conclusion regarding the need for this measure.

VII. ADOPTION OF THE COMMISSION'S RULES HERE WILL PREEMPT INCONSISTENT STATE CARRIER SELECTION REQUIREMENTS

Like AT&T (Comments, pp. 36-39), numerous other carriers demonstrate in their initial comments that the continued proliferation of widely varying and mutually inconsistent state rules governing the carrier selection

⁴⁸ Moreover, even if the Commission were to conclude (contrary to the record evidence) that additional consumer protection is needed on inbound calls, it cannot simply require verification of such calls without first analyzing whether less costly and burdensome alternatives, such as requiring carriers to obtain identifying data (e.g., the customer's birth date) before submitting a carrier change order will provide adequate protection of consumers on inbound calls. The Commission is also required to analyze whether any such measures should be limited to particular inbound telemarketing (such as responses to media advertising and direct mail) that may, in the Commission's view, raise even an attenuated threat of unauthorized change orders.

and verification process is certain to frustrate the uniform effectuation of the Commission's antislamming rules, as well as to undermine the pro-competitive goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. 49 As these parties correctly point out, neither the Telecommunications Act nor well-established federal supremacy principles permit such a result.

Extend its current interLATA carrier selection

verification procedures to also cover intraLATA and local

carrier selections -- a proposal which, as shown above,

is essentially unopposed in the record. Once adopted,

these regulations will entirely displace state regulation

of this subject. Section 258(a) of the Communications

Act expressly prohibits carriers from submitting a change

in a customer's "telephone exchange service or telephone

toll service [provider], except in accordance with such

verification procedures as the Commission shall

prescribe" (emphasis supplied). Several commenters

assert that the statute thereby confers exclusive

jurisdiction on the Commission over verification

procedures for these carrier selections. 50 However, at a

See ACTA, pp. 19-22; Working Assets, pp. 1-2; RCN, p. 3; TW Comm, p. 3; Excel, p. 2; Frontier, p. 2; CWI, p. 2.

See TW Comm, pp. 3-4; RCN, p. 3; CWI, pp. 2, 5-8; Excel, p. 2; Frontier, pp. 2, 8-12; Working Assets, pp. 1-2. California's contrary claim (p. 3) that

⁽footnote continued on following page)

minimum this provision must be deemed to displace conflicting state regulation of intraLATA and local carrier selection.⁵¹

There is likewise no room for a state role in promulgating verification rules for interLATA carrier selections, even in multiLATA states.⁵² State rules that prohibit reliance on verification mechanisms that the Commission's rules expressly permit,⁵³ or that

Section 258 nevertheless allows states to adopt their own intraLATA and local carrier selection verification rules is based on the second sentence of Section 258(a), which preserves the states' enforcement authority over intrastate services. What California ignores is that this language refers to the states' authority to enforce "such procedures" (emphasis supplied) as the Commission adopts for intraLATA toll and local carrier selections under the first sentence of Section 258(a).

⁽footnote continued from prior page)

In Illinois Public Telecommunications Ass'n v. FCC, 117 F.3d 555 (D.C. Cir. 1997), the Court of Appeals found that Section 276 of the Communications Act, granting the Commission authority to "fairly compensate[]" private payphone owners, constituted "an express mandate to preempt [s]tate regulation of local coin calls." The Commission's statutory authority over intrastate carrier selection under Section 258 is even clearer.

As AT&T showed in its Comments (p. 37 n.52), those single LATA states, such as South Dakota, that have adopted rules governing interLATA carrier selections have impermissibly intruded on the Commission's plenary and exclusive jurisdiction because those selections necessarily involve only interstate service.

For example, Texas has recently adopted regulations that effectively prohibit interLATA carrier change orders based on LOAs that fully comply with the

"supplement" those rules by requiring carriers to comply with additional burdensome procedures, ⁵⁴ both have the effect of thwarting the implementation of federal presubscription requirements and are therefore precluded as a matter of law. ⁵⁵

As many of the commenters correctly point out, the growing patchwork quilt of state presubscription rules not only are inconsistent with the letter of the Commission's regulations, but also threaten to subvert the Commission's twin goals of providing adequate consumer protection while at the same time preserving vibrant competition in the interexchange market. The increasing balkanization of the presubscription process,

⁽footnote continued from prior page)

Commission's required standards for disclosures in such documents.

A prime example of such state regulation is California's requirement that carriers verify even written change authorizations ("LOAs") prior to submitting those orders to LECs. Because an order for inter- and intrastate interLATA is inseverable, California's verification requirement necessarily precludes carriers from submitting a change order for interstate service based solely on an LOA, despite the Commission's rules authorizing changes on that basis.

See AT&T Comments, p. 38 and nn.53-54 (citing cases). Moreover, to the extent such state presubscription rules may effectively prohibit competitive entry into intraLATA and local markets, Section 253 of the Communications Act bars such state regulation. See, e.g., Silver Star Telephone Co., Inc. (Petition for Preemption and Declaratory Ruling), Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 97-336, released September 24, 1997.

virtually on a state-by-state basis, dramatically increases the costs of carriers that attempt to market their services on a regional or nationwide basis. The resultant drains on current competitors' resources, and barriers to new entrants, cannot be justified by any purported consumer protection motives for widely divergent state rules.

Contrary to some state commenters' claims, moreover, the Commission has never authorized conflicting state regulation of interLATA presubscription. California, for example, cites (p. 2 and Appendix A) a July 3, 1996 staff interpretive ruling that found no inconsistency between the Commission's rules and a California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") interim order prohibiting Heartline Communications, a notorious slammer, from submitting any new carrier change orders pending the outcome of an investigation into its practices. 56 But the cited staff ruling did not even suggest, much less purport to hold, that the CPUC could adopt any rules governing interLATA presubscription (whether or not such rules were consistent with federal requirements). Rather, the staff simply found that the CPUC was not preempted from adopting an interim remedy for Heartline's flagrant violations of the Commission's

See California Public Utilities Commission (Request for Interpretive Ruling), DA 96-1077, released July 3, 1996.

existing prohibition against combined sweepstakes/LOAs and its failure to verify carrier changes obtained through telemarketing.

Thus, far from supporting any state authority to promulgate presubscription rules, the Commission's action in that case underscores what AT&T demonstrated in it Comments (pp. 38-39): namely, that Section 258 expressly preserves the states' authority to enforce a uniform, nationwide set of carrier selection rules prescribed by the Commission. In conjunction with the private enforcement remedy authorized by Section 258(b), this enforcement role of the state commissions can play an important role in mitigating the ongoing problems created by unauthorized carrier changes.

SENT BY:#3 NEWER XEROX

; 9-29-97 ; 4:44PM ;

295 N. MAPLE LAW→

912024572790;# 3/ 3

28

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the Commission should adopt the proposals in the Further Notice with the modifications described herein and in AT&T's Comments.

Respectfully submitted,

AT&T CORP.

Mark C. Rosenb

Peter W. Jacob

Its Attorneys

295 North Maple Avenue

Room 3250J1

Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

(908) 221-4243

September 29, 1997

LIST OF COMMENTERS

```
360° Communications Company ("360°")
AirTouch Communications, Inc. ("AirTouch")
America's Carriers Telecommunication Association ("ACTA")
Ameritech Operating Companies ("Ameritech")
AT&T Corp. ("AT&T")
Bell Atlantic
Bell Atlantic Mobile, Inc. ("BAM")
BellSouth Corporation ("BellSouth"), BellSouth
     Telecommunications, Inc. ("BST")
Billing Information Concepts Corp. ("BIV")
Brittan Communications International Corporation ("BCI")
Cable and Wireless, Inc. ("CWI")
Peope of the State of California and the Public Utilities
     Commission of the State of California ("California")
Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company ("CBT")
Citizens Utilities Company ("Citizens")
Competitive Telecommunications Association ("CompTel")
The Direct Marketing Association ("DMA")
Office of the People's Counsel of the District of Columbia
     ("D.C. OPC")
Excel Communications, Inc. ("Excel")
Florida Public Service Commission ("PSC")
Frontier Corporation ("Frontier")
GTE Service Corporation ("GTE")
Illinois Commerce Commission ("ICC")
Intermedia Communications Inc. ("Intermedia")
IXC Long Distance, Inc. ("IXC")
LCI International Telecom Corp. ("LCI")
Maryland Public Service Commission ("MDPSC")
MCI Telecommunications Corporation ("MCI")
Montana Public Service Commission ("MPSC")
National Association of Attorneys General, Consumer
     Protection Committee, Telecommunications
     Subcommittee ("NAAGC")
National Consumers League ("NCL")
New York State Consumer Protection Board ("NYSCPB")
New York State Department of Public Service ("NYDPS")
The Public Staff - North Carolina Utilities Commission
     ("Public Staff")
Ohio Consumer's Counsel ("OCC")
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO")
Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate ("PaOCA")
RCN Telecom Services, Inc. ("RCN")
Southern New England Telephone Company ("SNET")
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, Pacific Bell, and
     Nevada Bell ("SBC Companies")
Sprint Corporation ("Sprint")
Telecommunications Resellers Association ("TRA")
Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("Tennessee")
Texas Ofice of Public Utilities Counsel ("TOPC")
```

SENT BY:#3 NEWER XEROX

; 9-29-97 ; 4:43PM ; 295 N. MAPLE LAW→

912024572790;# 2/ 3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Ann Marie Abrahamson, do hereby certify that on this 29th day of September, 1997, a copy of the foregoing "AT&T Reply Comments" was mailed by U.S. first class mail, postage prepaid, to the parties listed on the attached Service List.

SERVICE LIST (Dkt. 94-129)

Kevin C. Gallagher 360° Communications Company 8725 W. Higgins Road Chicago, IL 60631

Kathleen Abernathy David A. Gross AirTouch Communications 1818 N St., Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036

Charles D. Cosson AirTouch Communications One California St., 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111

Charles H. Helein
Robert M. McDowell
Rogena Harris
Harisha Bastiampillai
Helein & Associates, P.C.
8180 Greensboro Dr.,Suite 700
McLean, VA 22102
Attorneys for America's Carriers
Telecommunications Association

Bruce M. Botelho Attorney General State of Alaska P O. Box 110300 Juneau, AK 99811-0300

Gary L. Phillips Ameritech 1401 H St., NW, #1020 Washington, D.C. 20005

Grant Wood Attorney General State of Arizona 1275 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007

Winston Bryant Attorney General State of Arkansas 200 Tower Building 323 Center Street Little Rock, AR 72201-2610 James G. Pachulski
Stephen E. Bozzo
Edward D. Young, III
Michael E. Glover
Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies
Eighth Floor
1320 North Court House Road
Arlington, VA 22201

John T. Scott, III Crowell & Moring LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, D.C. 20004 Attorneys for Bell Atlantic Mobile, Inc.

M. Robert Sutherland Richard M. Sbaratta Rebecca M. Lough BellSouth Corporation BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 1155 Peachtree St., NE, Suite 1700 Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

Danny E. Adams
Rebekah J. Kinnett
Kelley Drye & Warren LLP
1200 19th St., NW, Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036
Attorneys for Billing Information Concepts Corp.

Robert W. Taylor Brittan Communications International Corporation 600 Jefferson, Suite 500 Houston, TX 77002

Paul W. Kenefick Cable and Wireless, Inc. 8219 Leesburg Pike Vienna, VA 22182

Donald E. Lungren Attorney General State of California 1515 K Street, Suite 511 P. O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Peter Arth, Jr.
Lionel B. Wilson
Mary Mack Adu
Helen M. Mickiewicz
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Attorneys for the People of the State of
California and the Public Utilities
Commission of the State of California

Christopher J. Wilson
Jack B. Harrison
Frost & Jacobs LLP
2500 PNC Center
201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Attorneys for Cincinnati Bell Telephone Co.

Thomas E. Taylor Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company 201 East Fourth St., 6th Floor Cincinnati, OH 45202

John B. Adams Citizens Utilities Company 1400 16th St., NW, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036

Genevieve Morelli
The Competitive Telecommunications Assn.
1900 M Street, NW, Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036

Robert J. Aamoth John J. Heitmann Kelly Drye & Warren LLP 1200 19th St., NW, Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036 Attorneys for The Competitive Telecommunications Assn.

Richard Blumenthal, Chairperson, Telecommunications Subcommittee Consumer Protection Committee National Association of Attorneys General 55 Elm Street, 7th Floor Hartford, CT 06106

M. Jane Brady Attorney General State of Deleware Carvel State Office Bldg. 820 N French Street Wilmington, DE 19801 Ian D. Volner
Heather L. McDowell
Venable, Baetjer, Howard & Civilette, LLP
1201 New York Ave., NW, Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20005
Counsel for The Direct Marketing Assn.

Elizabeth A. Noël Sandra Mattavous-Frye Julie E. Rones Office of the People's Counsel District of Columbia 1133 15th St., NW -- Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20005

J. Christopher Dance Robbin Johnson Excel Communications, Inc. 8750 North Central Expressway Dallas, TX 75231

Dana Frix
C. Joël Van Over
Swidler & Berlin, Chtd.
3000 K St., NW, Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007
Counsel for Excel Communications, Inc.

Cynthia B.Miller Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399

Robert A. Butterworth General Attorney State of Florida The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

Michael J. Shortley, III Frontier Corporation 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646

Gail L. Polivy GTE Service Corporation 1850 M St., NW, Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

Richard McKenna GTE Telephone Operations 600 Hidden Ridge Irving, TX 75038 Jeffrey S. Linder
Suzanne Yelen
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
1776 K St., NW
Washington, D.C. 20006
Attorneys for GTE Service Corporation and
its afiliated telecomunications companies

Al Lance Attorney General State of Idaho 210 Statehouse Boise, ID 83720-1000

James E. Ryan Attorney General State of Illinois 500 S. Second Street Springfield, IL 62706

Illinois Commerce Commission 160 No. LaSalle St., Suite C-800 Chicago, IL 60601

Jeffrey A. Modisett Attorney General State of Indiana 219 State House Indianapolis, IN 46204

Thomas J. Miller Attorney General State of Iowa Hoover Building, 2nd Floor Des Moines, IA 50319

Carla J. Stovall Attorney General State of Kansas Kansas Judicial Center, 2nd Floor Topeka, KS 66612-1597

Jonathan E. Canis Andrea D. Pruitt Kelly Drye & Warren LLP 1200 19th St., NW, Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 2036 Counsel for Intermedia Communications Inc.

Gary L. Mann IXC Long Distance, Inc. 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 700 Austin, TX 78701 Douglas W. Kinkoph LCI International Telecom Corp. 8180 Greensboro Dr., #800 McLean, VA 22102

J. Joseph Curran, Jr. Attorney General State of Maryland 200 St. Paul Place Baltimore, MD 21202-2021

Bryan G. Moorhouse Susan Stevens Miller Maryland Public Service Commission 6 Saint Paul Street Baltimore, MD 21202

Bradley C. Stillman MCI Telecommunications Corp. 1801 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, D.C. 20006

Frank J. Kelley Attorney General State of Michigan Law Building P. O.Box 30212 Lansing, MI 48909

Hubert H. Humphrey, III Attorney General State of Minnesota 102 State Capitol St. Paul, MN 55155

Karen Finstad Hammel Montana Public Service Commission 1701 Prospect Avenue P.O. Box 202601 Helena, MT 59620-2601

Linda F. Golodner Susan Grant National Consumers League 1701 K St., NW, Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20006

Frankie Sue Del Papa Attorney General State of Nevada Capitol Complex Carson City, NV 89710 Tom Udall Attorney General State of New Mexico P.O. Drawer 1508 Santa Fe. NM 87504-1508

Dennis C. Vacco Attorney General State of New York State Capitol Albany, NY 12224-0341

Timothy S. Carey
Ann Kutter
Kevin M. Bronner
Douglas W. Elfner
Stephen A. Berger
New York State Consumer Protection Board
5 Empire State Plaza, Suite 2101
Albany, NY 12223-1556

Lawrence G. Malone Y. Carolynn Duffy New York State Dept. of Public Service Three Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223-1350

Michael F. Easley Attorney General State of North Carolina P O.Box 629 Raleigh, NC 27602-0629

Robert P. Gruber Antoinette R. Wike Vickie L. Moir Public Staff - North Carolina Utilities Commission Post Office Box 29520 Raleigh, NC 27626-0520

Betty D. Montgomery Attorney General State of Ohio 30 East Broad Street, 17th Floor Coumbus, OH 43266-0410

Robert S. Tongren Evelyn R. Robinson Ohio Consumers' Counsel 77 South High St., 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43221-4568 Betty Montgomery
Duane Luckey
Johnlander Jackson-Forbes
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215-3793

Nancy C.Woolf Jeffrey B. Thomas Pacific Bell/Nevada Bell 140 New Montgomery St., Rm. 1529 San Francisco, CA 94105

James L. Wurtz Pacific Bell/Nevada Bell 1275 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, D.C. 20004

Irwin A. Popowsky
Philip F. McClelland
Office of Attorney General
Office of Consumer Advocate
1425 Strawberry Square
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Joseph Kahl RCN Telecom Services, Inc. 105 Carnegie Center Princeton, NJ 08540

Jean L. Kiddoo
Dana Frix
Marcy Greene
Swidler & Berlin, Chtd.
3000 K St., NW, Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007
Counsel for RCN Telecom Services, Inc.

Jeffrey B. Pine Attorney General State of Rhode Island 72 Pine Street Providence, RI 02903-2856

Wendy S. Bleumling
The Southern New England Telephone Co.
227 Church Street
New Haven, CT 06510

Robert M. Lynch
Durward D. Dupre
Mary W. Marks
Marjorie M. Weisman
Southwestern Bell Telephone Co.
One Bell Center, Suite 3520
St. Louis, MO 63101

Leon M. Kestenbaum Jay C. Keithley Sprint Communications Co. 1850 M St., NW, 11th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036

Charles C. Hunter
Catherine M. Hannan
Hunter Communications Law Group
1620 I St., NW, Suite 701
Washington, D.C. 20006
Attorneys for Telecommunication
Resellers Assn.

John Knox Walkup Attorney General State of Tennessee 500 Charlotte Avenue Nashville, TN 37243-0497

Lynn Greer Sara Kyle Melvin Maline Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Pkwy Nashville, TN 37219-0902

Suzi Ray McClellan Kristen Doyle Texas Office of Public Utility Counsel 1701 N. Congress Ave., Suite 9-180 P. O. Box 12397 Austin, TX 78711-2397

Pat Wood III Judy Walsh Public Utility Commission of Texas 1701 N. Congress Ave., 7th Floor Austin, TX 78711

Paul B. Jones
Janis Stahlhut
Donald F. Shepheard
Time Warner Communications
Holdings, Inc.
290 Harbor Drive
Stamford, CT 06902

David R. Poe Yvonne M. Coviello LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae LLP 1875 Connecticut Ave, NW, Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20009 Attorneys for Time Warner Communications Holdings, Inc. William R. Gardner
William J. Gildea, III
Harvey Kellman
The Law Offices of Michael R. Gardner, PC
1150 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 710
Washington, D.C. 20036
Attorneys for TV Services, Inc.

Mary McDermott Linda Kent Keith Townsend Hance Haney Todd Colquitt U.S. Telephone Association 1401 H St., NW, Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005

Kathryn Marie Krause Dan L. Poole U S WEST, Inc. 1020 19th St., NW, Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

William H. Sorrell Attorney General State of Vermont 109 State Street Montpelier, VT 05609-1001

Peter M. Bluhm Vermont Public Service Board Drawer 20 Montpelier, VT 05620-2701

E. C. Addison Virginia State Corporation Commission Division of Communications P. O. Box 1197 Richmond, VA 23218

James Veilleux VoiceLog LLC 9509 Hanover South Trail Charlotte, NC 28210

Christine O. Gregoire Attorney General State of Washington 125 Washington St., SE P. O.Box 40100 Olympia, WA 98504-0100 Darrell V. McGraw, Jr. Attorney General State of West Virginia Room 26, East Wing State Capitol Charleston, WV 25305-0220

Timothy R. Graham Joseph M. Sandri, Jr. Robert G. Berger Russell C. Merbeth WinStar Communications, Inc. 1146 19th St., NW, Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20036

James E. Doyle Attorney General State of Wisconsin P. O. Box 7857 Madison, WI 53707-7857

Walter N. McGee Working Assets 701 Montgomery St., 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111

Catherine R. Sloan
Richard L. Fruchterman III
Richard S. Whitt
WorldCom, Inc.
1120 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036

Brian Sulmonetti WorldCom, Inc. 1515 South Federal Highway, Suite 400 Boca Raton, FL 33432

Douglas F. Brent WorldCom, Inc. 9300 Shelbyville Rd., Suite 700 Louisville, KY 40222